

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/582,124	05/10/2007	Linda Greensmith	CytRx/012	1776	
1473 ROPES & GR	7590 10/28/200 AY II P	EXAMINER			
PATENT DO	CKETING 39/361	STONE, CHRISTOPHER R			
	E OF THE AMERICAS NY 10036-8704		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/28/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/582,124	GREENSMITH ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
CHRISTOPHER R. STONE	1628				

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

i onou for roopsy
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of times may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be simily filled.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutiory period will apply and will expire SIX (s) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the ast or extended period for reply will by stated cannot be approximation to become ARMONDED (38 U.S.C, § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patter term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 October 0209</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is in Samuel 1. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 6-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 6-11 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)
Notice of References Cited (RTO 902)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/08/2009.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: \_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 10/582,124

Art Unit: 1628

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 8, 2009 has been entered.

Applicants' arguments, filed October 8, 2009, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

#### Status of Claims

Claims 6-11 are pending and under examination. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is the elected specie of neurodegenerative disease currently under examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Application/Control Number: 10/582,124

Art Unit: 1628

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kalmar et al (Experimental Neurology, Vol. 176, p. 87-97, 2002)

Claims 6-11 are drawn to a method of treating neurodegeneration in the central nervous system in a patient having ALS comprising administering (+)-R-N-[2-hydroxy-3-(1-piperidinyl)-propoxy]-pyridine-1-oxide-3-carboximidoyl chloride or (+)-R-N-[2-hydroxy-3-(1-piperidinyl)-propoxy]-pyridine-1-oxide-3-carboximidoyl chloride citrate.

Kalmar et al teaches that (+)-R-N-[2-hydroxy-3-(1-piperidinyl)-propoxyl-pyridine-1-oxide-3-carboximidoyl chloride (BRX-220) induces the expression of heat shock proteins (hsps), including hsp70 and hsp90, in motorneurons and surrounding cells, i.e. astrocytes, in the central nervous system (spinal cord, p. 89, Western Blots heading) and thus increases motomeuron and functional motor unit survival (i.e. treats neurodegeneration) following axotomy-induced cell death in neonatal rats (abstract). Heat shock proteins, including hsp70 and hsp90, are taught to provide cytoprotection of motor neurons (i.e. to treat neurodegeneration, p. 87, right column, 1st paragraph).

Art Unit: 1628

Kalmar et al further teaches that the expression of said hsps may result in the astrocytic regulation and uptake of glutamate around the injured neurons, thereby decreasing the excitoxic effects of glutamate. Said effects are taught to be a factor in the pathogenesis of ALS (p. 95, left column, line 40 to right column, line 34), Therefore it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instantly claimed invention to treat neurodegeneration in the central nervous system in a patient having ALS comprising administering BRX-220, since administration of the compound was taught to induce the expression of heat shock proteins in the central nervous system, said proteins were known to have cytoprotective effects on motorneurons in the central nervous system (i.e. to treat neurodegeneration), said proteins were also taught to likely inhibit the excitotoxic effects of glutamate and said effects were known to contribute to the pathogenesis of ALS, thus resulting in the practice of the instantly claimed invention with a reasonable expectation of success. Additionally, it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instantly claimed invention to use (+)-R-N-[2-hydroxy-3-(1-piperidinyl)-propoxy]-pyridine-1-oxide-3-carboximidoyl chloride citrate, since the citrate salt form would have been reasonably expected to have the same or substantially the same therapeutic benefit as the free compound form.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant alleges that no reference at the time of the filing of the instant application discloses the chemical identity of BRX-220 and thus one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the compound in the instantly claimed invention. This is an allegation without factual support and is found unpersuasive

Art Unit: 1628

because Kalmar et al provides motivation to use the compound in the instantly claimed method for the reasons noted above regardless of a potential lack of structural information about the compound. Applicant's arguments with regard to the salt form of the compound and Bruening et al are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection above.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER R. STONE whose telephone number is (571)270-3494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-4:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brandon Fetterolf can be reached on (571) 272-2919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,124 Page 6

Art Unit: 1628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CRS

/Brandon J Fetterolf/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1642